MINUTES OF A REGULAR MEETING OF THE FORT PIERCE UTILITIES AUTHORITY, TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 15, 2022, 4:00 P.M., CITY COMMISSION CHAMBERS.

Members Present: Chairman Frank H. Fee, IV; Vice Chairman Kristina Gibbons; Secretary Barbara Bennett; Deputy Secretary Larry Lammers; and Mayor Linda Hudson.

Others present: Javier Cisneros, Director of Utilities; Rupert N. Koblegard, III, FPUA Attorney, Barbara A. Mika, Director of Financial Services; Paul A. Jakubczak, Director of Electric & Gas Systems; Bo Hutchinson, Director of Water/Wastewater Systems; Daniel Retherford, Director of Utility Support Services; and Lois Wolfe, Acting Director of Regulatory Affairs.

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Frank H. Fee.

Opening Prayer was by Shay Johnson of ITS Manager, City of Fort Pierce.

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

The roll was called and a quorum declared.

Chairman Fee said it looks like we are removing one item from the Agenda and that is G-1.

A motion was made by Mrs. Gibbons, seconded by Mayor Hudson, and unanimously carried to approve the set agenda.

A motion was made by Ms. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Lammers, and unanimously carried to approve the Minutes of the Regular Meeting of February 1, 2022.

A motion was made by Mayor Hudson, seconded by Ms. Bennett, and unanimously carried to approve the Consent Agenda.

1. POA NO. 22-25: Approve 1) the single source purchase of Decorative Concrete Poles from Torres Electrical Supply Company, Inc. of Stuart, Florida, in an amount not to exceed $40,377.05; and 2) additional funding in an amount not to exceed $150,000 annually for inventory purchases, for a total of $190,377.05 for the period of February 16, 2022 thru February 15, 2023.
2. POA 22-32: Approve the Contract for Substation Painting Services with Seacor Painting, Inc., of Campbell, Ohio in an amount not to exceed $60,000 annually, through participation in the Florida Municipal Power Agency (FMPA) Joint Purchase Project Invitation to Bid No. 2021-115. The initial term of this contract shall be February 16, 2022 through July 31, 2022, with four one-year renewals, contingent on extension of the award by FMPA.

3. Approve Post Budgeted Project/Budget Request for the Maintenance Pole Replacement project in the amount of $150,000.
4. Approve Post Budgeted Project/Budget Request for Celebration Point Phase II PUD Project in the amount of $50,000.
5. Approve: 1)The Post-Budgeted Project/Budget Transfer Request in the amount of $130,000 and 2) the purchase for emergency replacement of Stripping Tower Emergency Generator from Newman's Power Systems, Inc., of Fort Pierce, Florida, in an amount not to exceed amount of $120,744. Contingency funds in the amount of $9,256 added to total amount for unforeseen additional costs once equipment is removed.

Ms. Sabota read the following into the record:

1. We received a call from Douglas O'Connor on Friday afternoon commending Andre Cleveland for his excellent customer service. Mr. O'Connor appreciated how Andre took the time to explain his issue; he stated Andre was very knowledgeable and pleasant. He said he was a happy customer when Andre left.

Chairman Fee said now we can get to new business.

Mr. Cisneros said thank you Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board, good afternoon. The first request is Item G-2 for the approval of janitorial services for FPUA facilities. Mr. Eric Winterstein, Superintendent of Facilities, will present this item.

Mr. Winterstein said good evening Madame Mayor, FPUA Chairman, FPUA Board and Mr. Cisneros.

I come to you today to request approval of RFP No. 22-01 Janitorial Services for FPUA Facilities. The Request for Proposals (RFP) was uploaded on Demand Star on December 9th 2021, and was advertised on December 15th and 20th 2021. The RFP was sent to 474 janitorial contractors, Twenty (20) contractors requested specifications with six (6) contractors responding resulting in a 30 percent response rate. Of the six (6) responding Proposals 83% were local contractors.

FPUA used an evaluation committee of 3 employees to review the (RFP) Request for Proposals, The committee met January 27th and February 1st to review the proposals and based their evaluations on the following criteria:

- Technical experience/staff qualifications. (Experience of workforce and supervision, number of staff) – 20 points

- Quality of work (references and work history) – 20 points

- Procedures, materials, equipment, and methods to accomplish the scope of work – 20 points

- Locality Rating - calculated, headquartered in Ft. Pierce 25 points, headquartered within the four St. Lucie and the three (3)adjoining (contiguous counties) 20 points, headquartered in the state of Florida 15 points, headquartered out of state - 5 points.

- Total cost - included cost per facility, labor, material, equipment, tools, and supervision along with the costs for extra work. Usually reflected as an hourly wage used during storm restoration.

A recommendation was received from the Facilities and Fleet Superintendent on February 2, 2022 to request authorization from the Board to accept (4) four proposals from four (4) different contractors. The proposals are from:

- Heveron Group, Inc. dba Beachland Cleaning service of Vero Beach Florida for cleaning the Water reclamation Facility (403 Seaway Drive), Lift station A (101 Backus Ave), and Facilities (205 Backus Ave) in an amount not to exceed $24,672.00 annually (1 employee).
- Clean Space Inc. of Coral Springs, Florida for cleaning of the Water Treatment Plant (715 S. 25th Street) and the Todd Center (715 S. 25th Street Rear) in an amount not to exceed $28,090.80 annually (3 employees).
- Morivalous Cleaning, Inc. of Ft. Pierce Florida, for cleaning the Energy Services Center (1701 S. 37th Street) in an amount not to exceed $79,358.04 annually (4 employees).
- RPM Commercial Cleaning Inc. DBA Stratus Building Solutions of Palm Beach County and of Royal Palm Beach Florida for cleaning the Administration building (206 S. Sixth Street) and the Annex (500 Boston Ave) in an amount not to exceed $71,953.20 annually (3 employees).

The amount of FPUA's current contract with the janitorial contractor is $142,887.36. The total of the new janitorial contract is $204,074.04, with a difference of $61,186.68, and this is an increase of around 42% of the old contract of $142,887.36.

Facilities used to welcome one contractor pre-pandemic, (economies of scale) now we welcome the use of multiple vendors due to staffing issues that we all have seen. Using the 4 contractors also allows for another contractor to step in if a contractor cannot fulfill their obligation to FPUA.

Any questions from the Board?

Mayor Hudson said I have a question. You said 42% increase and that's just generally an increase in labor cost or increase in materials or increase in.....

Mr. Winterstein said they are responsible for supplying the paper products. I would think that's part of it and the way the labor is and since we're not looking for one contractor to clean all the buildings and breaking it up. I think we lose the economy as a scale, but we get reliability by having back up. I think that's what it's all about with the utilities is having back up. It can get pretty hectic in a storm restoration situation when people at ESC are living there for five or six days at the time.

Mayor Hudson asked this is daily janitorial service?

Mr. Winterstein said yes.

Mayor Hudson asked for all of the different buildings?

Mr. Winterstein said all the facilities.

Mayor Hudson asked for a whole year?

Mr. Winterstein said for a whole year.

Mrs. Gibbons said I have a question. When was the last time that we compared it as if we had someone on staff? In this -- it said it would be a lot less doing it this way rather than having someone on staff.

Mr. Winterstein said you know 18 years ago when I started they looked at it in-house. The number 18 years ago was $300,000. You know, so that's 18 years ago. Now, let's say its $400,000 now you're still getting in there for half.

Mrs. Gibbons said I like that you're using multiple vendors. I think that's great. Makes it super flexible.

Chairman Fee asked any other questions? Anybody care to make a motion?

A motion was made by Mayor Hudson, seconded by Mrs. Gibbons, and unanimously carried to approve RFP No. 22-01: Accept Proposals and Contracts for Janitorial Services with Heveron Group, Inc. dba Beachland Cleaning Service of Vero Beach, Florida; Clean Space Inc. of Coral Springs, Florida; Morivalous Cleaning, Inc. of Fort Pierce, Florida and RPM Commercial Cleaning Inc dba Stratus Building Solutions of Palm Beach County, of Royal Palm Beach County, Florida, for a total amount not to exceed $204,074.04 annually. The initial term of the Contracts will be from March 7, 2022 through March 6, 2023, with two one-year renewal options, contingent on receiving required Certificate(s) of Insurance.

Mr. Cisneros said Mr. Chairman the next item this afternoon is an information presentation for the December 2021 Bill Comparison. Michele Harris, Finance Controller, will present this item.

Ms. Harris said thank you Mr. Cisneros, Chairman Fee and Board Members. I am presenting to you today the monthly update and the comparison of Florida municipal electric rates published by FMEA and the local Treasure Coast utility bills for December.

The first graph shows the comparison of residential electric rates for 1,000 kilowatt hours of consumption for the 13 municipal utilities which are members of the All Requirements Project. They are displayed and based upon their base bill amount. FPUA's rates at $134.84 shown with the yellow bar ranks FPUA in the higher end of this group.

This graph displays the comparison for the same level of consumption, but includes all of the municipal utilities in the state. Our December bill again at $134 .84 also ranks us higher in comparison to most of the other 31 municipal utilities. In December, FPUA increased the PCA $18.00 going from $0 to $18.00. Twelve of the other 31 municipal utilities also implemented rate increases. The overall impact of those adjustments resulted in an increase of $3.65 to the ARP average and an increase of $1.92 to municipal average.

This graph presents a similar comparison, but for consumption of 2500 kilowatt hours. Our December bill at $339.80 ranks us far to the right of this group as well. FPUA's rates for 1000 kilowatt hours and 2500 kilowatt hours are higher than the three averages. The ARP, the municipal and IOU average.

The table displayed is the December comparison of the average residential utility bills on the Treasure Coast. FPUA's utility bill for all three services provided are inside city limits and is shown with the first column in blue. All other bills in this table have electric services by FP&L and water and wastewater services by the localities provider. The comparison includes the bills for the average consumption of electric, water and wastewater services for residential customers. This includes FPUA's $18.00 increase for the PCA as well as recent increases to the city of Port St. Lucie by 1.5% for water and wastewater services. And just to let you know, I do know FP&L will be increasing the rates in January. So this might look different a month from now. I did my own bill and it will increase about $14. Just to give you an idea what it might look like for next month.

I did contact FMEA. We haven't gotten the results back yet. I thought you would want to know where January is going to stand compared to this graft. They don't have all the data. I did some homework and you will see some movement like we normally do. I think some of Gainesville will be increasing, and Ocala increasing. Tallahassee, Mount Dora, Willingston, Orlando, JEA, you will see movement, it's just a matter of how much.

This is for information only. I will be glad to answer any questions that you have.

Mrs. Gibbons said I have a question. I don't know if you know this off the top of your head, but I'm curious as to where we've been the last maybe two years, because I know that we typically raise our rates in this time. Obviously the PCA and all those things and then lower them back down. I'm curious where we were trending then compared now.

Ms. Harris said like for last December where we stood? I've probably been presenting this for about two years... a little over two years. I know that we haven't really been in the right region of the middle.

Chairman Fee said my recollection is we've been kind of to the left.

Ms. Harris said yeah. That's just been for the last two years.

Chairman Fee asked any other questions? Thank you.

Mr. Cisneros said Mr. Chairman the next item this afternoon is an update on the Power Cost Adjustment with the recommendation to make no change to the electric PCA. Barbara Mika, Director of Financial Services, will present this item.

Ms. Mika said thank you Mr. Cisneros, Chairman Fee and Board Members. Good afternoon. Thank you for the opportunity to provide you with the updated information on the status of the December power cost adjustment along with the updated twelve-month projections through December of this year.

FPUA's cost of purchase power is recovered in part through customer billings. Power costs are recovered in excess of the $79.52 base power cost and are accumulated as an over recovery or rate stabilization fund. In order to retain sufficient funds to pay our power costs, we strive to maintain a PCA reserve between $1 million and $4 million with excess funds going back to the customer whenever possible The cost of power purchased from FMPA for the month of December was billed to FPUA at a blended rate of $84.74 for 1,000 kilowatt hours. This was a decrease from the previous month of $5.50. For the month of December, FPUA experienced an over recovery of power cost from the customer of $213,891. Which increased our over recovery to $1.4 million dollars as of December 31st.

This chart tracks the cumulative monthly balance of the PCA over recovery in relation to the approved power cost adjustment levels. You can see the PCA levels back two years to December of 2019 with the actuals showing in the blue bars and looking forward to 12 months through December of 2022 with the projections in the orange bars. The amount of the power cost adjustment is represented by the dark blue line. Which for the month of December was at $18 per 1,000 kilowatt hours.

FPUA has maintained the PCA level above $1 million dollar levels for the past two years which allows for fluctuations in pricing and having sufficient reserves to pay for our power costs. These projections have been prepared by Raftelis Financial Consultants and are based on data forecasts from FMPA. Since the November forecast, FMPA's estimate of the cost to purchase power for the upcoming 12 months has increased just slightly by one half of one%. In November we had shown a decrease of 9.1. It's levelling off for now.

This graph represents the comparison of the updated projections trending the power cost recovery through December of 2022. In consideration of the Boards conservative actions over the past few months the top end of the rate stabilization fund showing in this graph at the 5,000 mark we previously were showing it at 4, but based on the action that you've -- the way you've been looking at it. We raised the bar just for comparison purposes here to the $5 million. So representing the top end of that rate stabilization fund this higher level will safeguard FPUA against extreme fluctuations in prices in the rate increases. The grey line maintains the current PCA at $18 with no further changes recouping our cash deficiencies and surpassing the rate stabilization fund ceiling by July.

The green line follows the same path through May over here, but allows for a one-time $30 decrease of the PCA in June as we enter the summer months and then through the end of the fiscal year increasing by $25 in October to a positive $13.

The red line is more of a steadier path with slower increases at that mark it's $4.50 and through March and through June it just maintains a steadier path for the PCA just for comparison purposes. Along the bottom the blue numbers show the monthly PCA levels (currently at $18). In June, if we're with a $30 decrease, we would be at negative $12 and so with a $25 increase in October this is just proposed and we would be $13 PCA come October.

This chart has been updated with the most current four months forecasted for average cost per megawatt hour and now includes the December forecast. You can see the decreases from the September and October projections to the current ones down here on the bottom. The table below on the bottom lays out the month-over-month changes in the projected average cost per megawatt hour. The average for December was actual at $92.04. You can see the projections are fairly stable just slightly lower as trending out as we get towards April into the spring. So it's fairly stable, but slightly lower.

Last month it was recommended of staff to make no change to the power cost and just watch and see as we saw the December and January projections come out. In light of the lower trends in December, and have the PCA is staying within the rate stabilization fund, we would like to continue a wait-and-see approach. See what the next projections come out. We always will watch the situation. We report it monthly and will recommend any change if we think it would be necessary.

This is for your information at this point, but if you have any questions I would be happy to address them.

Mrs. Gibbons asked any idea where we are for January?

Ms. Mika said we just had our rate call today. The rate call was at 2:00 this afternoon. I was still trying to absorb it before I came to this meeting. I actually had a chance to talk to Jacob Williams. I think it's stabilized, but the gas market got up to $6 a dekatherm a couple of weeks ago at the end of January and then it dropped back down. It's still very -- it's a moving target for sure, but we hope that the next couple of months it will stabilize. It's funny as I was talking to Jacob, he said that the market is controlled by the area between Chicago and New York. That controls the entire market for gas because they have to heat their homes which is far more important than generating power as obviously people have to generate heat for their homes. That controls the market right now and the weather has been cold up there.

Mr. Lammers said one thing I would be interested in and maybe I missed it especially when we look at presentations for bill comparisons and I know we usually look at 1,000 kilowatt hour bill and a 2500 kilowatt board. Then we look at the PCA right after that. Is to see for the information that we're looking at what is the average homeowner's bill and I know that's supposed to be done through 1,000 kilowatt hours, but in December, the average homeowner probably is not using 1,000 kilowatt hours. If we could take a look at that, just emotionally when I see us further to the right in the bill comparison it's hard for me not to say, oh my gosh we need to do something. That being said, it's clear that we were decisive and aggressive with moving up the PCA once we got wind of the gas price volatility and having the same information I would probably recommend the same decision again. We don't want to be where we are in the bill comparison for too long and right now the recommendation from staff I would assume that when we lower the PCA and I think the green line was June. That would change our position on that graph considerably.

Ms. Mika said yes it would. It would also change in the bill presentation depending on what other utilities do. I don't know that they would reach the $18 jump that we have. I have seen bigger jumps by other utilities in the past in a one month time frame.

Mr. Lammers said so just a little bit of information would help me in this position to say okay I know on the graph we're further to the right than we want to be at least for the short time. I know for the 1000 kilowatt hour bill we're saying it's X, but what is our average customer bill? Is our average customer bill the 1,000 kilowatt hours for December? What does that actually look like?

Mr. Cisneros said that's a great suggestion. We can definitely make sure we do that. As you may recall in December I believe Michele Harris and Melissa Martin presented that exact item. We can make sure that we include something similar to that for that month. That's a good

suggestion. The graph does show us all the way to the right, but the bills are not all the way to the right. They're somewhere further down because the consumption is lower.

Mr. Lammers said I thought that was a great presentation. It's very helpful for me.

Mr. Cisneros said Barbara can you go back to the slide with the PCA? What we are also looking at doing because I think this hits to your point, is Barbara and I discussed how we can show this graph a little bit differently where the gray bar would show it going across at the top line rather than going well above the blue top line. The red line showing it towards the bottom line, what would it take for the PCA to stay around that area? Then the green line staying in the middle so that we're not dropping at 30 in one month. We're trying to manage it. That's a lot harder to do, but we are going to try to make sure we do something like that so you can see we're not just dropping it one month at the time. We have more of a plan to kind of manage it.

Attorney Koblegard said we will be coming to the Board asking for some rate increases coming into the summer. Got to think about that going forward.

Mrs. Gibbons said that's one thing I wanted to mention to. Whenever this does happen we need to make sure it happens at the same time so it gets absorbed a little.

Mr. Cisneros said decreasing the PCA while we potentially raise utility rates is a good point Mr. Koblegard.

Mayor Hudson said Mr. Chairman, back on the previous presentation, it's kind of got the same kind of sticker shock with the 1,000 kilowatt is $143 compared to $111, $118 so same kind of thing. We don't want to stay there too long.....any longer than we absolutely have to.

Chairman Fee said I think that goes to Mr. Lammers comment. That it's kind of skewed because that assumes if you used all 1,000 kilowatt hours. Now that it's cool and people open up windows and are sitting outside using less energy. Any other questions? Thank you.

Mayor Hudson said better news next time.

Mr. Cisneros said if there's someone to deliver bad news, Barbara is our person.

Mayor Hudson said she does a good job.

Mrs. Gibbons said they are going to have to do something. There's no way all the other utilities will be in the hole $1 million trying to stabilize themselves.

Mayor Hudson said there's no way we will be standing there by ourselves. If there is then we have to look further.

Mr. Cisneros said if you think about Ft. Pierce we're geographically located in a really good location. Not too far south and not too far north. We have the benefit of staying you know cool, but not really cold and not going all the way south. Ft. Pierce you know we're kind of lucky geographically as far as electric utility consumption.

Chairman Fee asked any report from the Attorney?

Attorney Koblegard said you got a hand out here showing the schedule going forward on the bond resolution and all? Pretty important dates there for the Board. One would be Tuesday, March 22nd when we have the joint meeting with the city. We would like to be sure to have everyone there for that. Then Tuesday May 3rd, FPUA Board Meeting. That will be a public hearing on the rate increases we would like to have a full Board for that also. Then on Tuesday, May 17th, one of the most important dates in a way is the adoption of the bond resolution. Those three dates and those meetings are important and to have as many people on those days as we can. I know we're looking way ahead and maybe getting into vacation time. That's why I wanted to mention it. That's all I have.

Mayor Hudson asked is the meeting date with the city aware of that date?

Mr. Cisneros said the March 22nd joint workshop. Yes, ma'am I called Linda Cox Monday morning because we received the information on Friday and tried to reserve that date and she was able to reserve the date for the city commission. You saw that e-mail from me today to the Board, hopefully you got the email with this schedule.

Mayor Hudson said I haven't read it.

Mrs. Gibbons asked was there a time for this?

Mr. Cisneros said it will be from 9:00 to 12:00. We'll provide more information. I will reach out to board members tomorrow to verify that the date is available for all the board members and we can formalize a schedule and an agenda for that meeting.

Chairman Fee asked any comments from the Director?

Mr. Cisneros said yes, sir. Tomorrow we will be hosting Commissioner Gaines. It's always a great time to showcase FPUA staff and the work they perform in our community. We will do our best to impress him like we have for other commissioners in the past.

With the recent vacancy in the development office position we had the opportunity to look at our short and long-term needs for business development and grant administration. We modified

the job description and we now have a sustainability program manager and Rachel Tennent was selected for that position. She has already hit the ground running and she will also be part of the mainland water reclamation relocation team. I see that Candice is in the audience and she's the acting manager for MOEC.

The application deadline for the Utility Advisory Committee was February 4th which was two Friday's ago and there were a total of ten applicants. Linda Cox will be sending out the applicants to the city commission and FPUA board members for selection. A few of the applicants were sponsored by an FPUA board member or a city commissioner. I believe those individuals are automatically selected because they are sponsored. So you'll see more information from Linda Cox in the next few days.

At the next Board Meeting Dan O'Hagen from FMPA will provide a 15 to 20 minute Sunshine Law training session. As soon as I get the presentation I'll send it out to the Board Members ahead of time to allow for any additional items that you'd like to add to the presentation or any questions that you may have. I'll also provide a quick update on the latest status of some of the legislative bills that were discussed last week at the rally.

Bo, Daniel and myself had a chance to meet with Dana Trabulsey, Toby Oberdorf and Gayle Harrell. We did discuss most of those key issues and we also provided an update to the mainland water reclamation facility location and they were all excited to hear the progress.

A quick update on three items here:

- House Bill 621: that's the bill that we talked about Mayor Hudson and that did not pass this year. That was transferring funds from the utility to the city and then the city would not be eligible for any kind of grant funding if they didn't take transfer and reinvest it into the utility. That didn't pass, but there's next year. That was the note that went along with that bill.

- The Senate Bill 1670: cybersecurity ransom bill is ongoing and the public records exemption bill did fail. That one we were in support of, but that one did fail.

- As Mr. Koblegard mentioned, the preliminary timetable for the new debt issuance identifies all the players. I emailed that out earlier today and it does identify the important dates as Mr. Koblegard mentioned those are March 22nd Joint Workshop; and April 19th Board Meeting we'll have the approval of the underwriters. The May 3rd Board Meeting will be a public hearing for the proposed rate increases. May 16th will be the city commission meeting for the request for approval of the rate increases. May 17th will be the Board Meeting to adopt the bond resolution and if we get approval at all of those meetings, then we will be able to go out to market. There's a lot of work to do there. As soon as we get the preliminary proposed rate increases from our financial consultant

(Raftelis), we will schedule meetings with the Board Members and city commission to discuss those rate increases.

Last thing I would like to mention is Saturday, February 26th is the FMEA linemen competition here in little ole Ft. Pierce. That's 11 days away from today. It will be an exciting event and we appreciate your support.

That's all I have.

Chairman Fee said okay, thank you.

Mrs. Bennett asked I know that you were talking about us coming to the banquet. How will we get the tickets?

Mr. Cisneros said I will make sure to follow up with you tomorrow. Good question, thank you.

Chairman Fee asked any comments from the board members?

Mayor Hudson said I have a question. When we were planning the design build in April we were going to get information that was going to be kind of decision making time about whether we were going this way or that way and this schedule seems to assume that we're going just one way; is that right?

Mr. Cisneros said no, ma'am. What we are trying to do out there is take parallel paths as Bo likes to say, but also we are moving pretty fast. So we are looking still to have a 30% design completed in April so we can have, I believe that's within 10% to 15% cost estimate of accuracy, so we can take that information and make that part of our plan because of the money we want to borrow.

Mayor Hudson said right and so is that's the Tuesday, April 19th meeting? This sounds like we've got this predetermined.

Mr. Cisneros said the 19th would be just for the approval of the underwriters. That is one of the first steps we need -- there's multiple steps we need along the way. It won't be until let's see here -- I believe it was May 18th if we get all the approvals prior to May 18th then we go out for debt issuance.

Mayor Hudson said we got to go for rate increases before we do that.

Mr. Cisneros said right. There's -- that's actually correct. We can't get the bond until the rate increases are approved because the people looking at us to issue us the loans need to make sure that we could pay for it and that would include rate increases, yes, ma'am.

Mayor Hudson said okay. Thank you.

Chairman Fee asked any other comments?

Attorney Koblegard said I misunderstood something you said. I didn't mean to get out in front of Javy on the schedule I would not have done that.

Mr. Cisneros said that's okay. No worries at all.

Attorney Koblegard said for some reason I thought it was my time to speak.

Chairman Fee said it was because I skipped Javy. It wasn't your mistake. It was mine. I was pushing the meeting along a little faster than normal, my mistake. Any other comments?

Mrs. Gibbons said thank you for giving us these. I really love having some kind of a calendar of events. If there are updates maybe we can just get an updated one so we can throw this one out that would be great.

Mr. Cisneros said absolutely. Some of these days may change depending on when we get final numbers from the design. So one of the things that's pretty important is at the city commission on May 16th, if we're not able to get a 4/5 approval, then we have to wait 30 days and that changes the date by 30 days. There's a 30 day waiting period. We can wave that if we don't get 4/5 approval we'll request waving the 30 day period. Otherwise, that does change this from May 18th to June 18th. We will keep you posted as we hit the milestones and the calendar moves along. We'll make sure to keep you posted.

Mayor Hudson said and we have to approve those rates so we get that information first. I hope we have that in plenty of time.

Mr. Cisneros said we will. May 3rd would be potentially the first time we would present to the FPUA board. The board will know about these rates well ahead of time. We will meet with the board members and the city commissioners to discuss what we are talking about there.

Mayor Hudson asked don't we have to do a budget sometime in there? Have budget meetings.

Mr. Cisneros said we have a budget workshop. It's on the other calendar. The May 19th and May 3rd board meetings are normal board meetings. They won't interfere with budget or workshop dates. The only date here that's outside of a board meeting or city commission will be March 22nd. That will be the only date that's not a board meeting date. That will be the joint workshop between FPUA Board and the city commission.

Mrs. Bennett asked will those workshops will be here?

Mr. Cisneros said they will be at the Energy Service Center on 37th street. We'll make sure to give you the agenda location and time for that workshop.

Mayor Hudson said I'm glad you're not calling it the war room anymore.

Mr. Cisneros said it's still called that. We'll think about a different name for sure.

Chairman Fee said all right. Any other comments? Looks like we're adjourned.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 4:50pm.

ATTEST:

SECRETARY

CHAIRMAN